



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/734,887	12/13/2000	Krishna A. Bharat	0026-0004	5677
26615 7	590 07/02/2003	•	•	
HARRITY & SNYDER, LLP			EXAMINER	
11240 WAPLE SUITE 300	S MILL ROAD		MIZRAHI, DIANE D	
FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	12/
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ope
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/734,887	BHARAT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply th places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding arms of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the markets.	ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the final (a)	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: AbNE.	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	0	•
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.	PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100)	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Щ.	
10. Other:			
		6/27/07	<u> </u>
Potent and Trademark Office		-	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are considered moot, and does not place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner asserts that "every limitation positively recited in a claims was given effect in order to determine what the subject matter that the claim defines" In re Wilder, 166 USPQ 545, 548 (CCPA 1970) and that Examiner believes that claims 1-22 are not allowable over the prior art of record cited in the Final Office Action dated 3-25-03).